

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,333	02/09/2004	Hiroshi Okumura	Q77321	8920	
23373	7590 06/22/2006		EXAMINER		
	MION, PLLC	MONDT, JOHANNES P			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3663		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/773,333	OKUMURA, HIROSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Johannes P. Mondt	3663		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
		Examiner	Art Unit					
1		Johannes P. Mondt	3663	`				
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
even Exan MON	eriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv , however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th iner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
peen filed is the CFR 1.17(a) is c above, if checke	e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on late for purposes of determining the period of extension a alculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stall. Any reply received by the Office later than three month m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). PPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Noti	ce of Appeal was filed on A brief in com he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must t	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
	– posed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
` '—	ey raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TE below);					
(c) ☐ TI	ey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo ey are not deemed to place the application in be	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
	peal; and/or ey present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims.					
	OTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			•				
4. 🔲 The am	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).				
	nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timaly filed emandr	aont concoling'				
the non-	allowable claim(s).		•	_				
how the	oses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
	us of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: allowed: 29 and 31.			•				
Claim(s)	objected to: <u>12,15</u> , <u>1.7 and 30</u> .			~.				
	rejected: <u>9-11,13,14 and 16</u> . withdrawn from consideration:							
` '	R OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
B. The affice because	avit or other evidence filed after a final action, b applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
entered	avit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10, 🗌 The aff	davit or other evidence is entered. An explanation R RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	• •	• •				
	uest for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
			1 nall	K Ch				
				4 44 4				

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed Amendment would require more than a cursory examination because claims 13, 14, 16, 32, 33 and 34 would have to be examined for new issues (because of a newly claimed combination of limitations), antecedent basis and new matter.